

EPARTMENT OF COMMERCE UNITED STATE **Patent and Trademark Office**

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Address:

Т

Washington, D.C. 20231

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. **FILING DATE** ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

09/098,758

06/17/98

DUVALL

2543-28-93

EXAMINER

IM62/0518

GERALD K WHITE MORTON INTERNATIONAL 100 NORTH RIVERSIDE PLAZA CHICAGO IL 60606-1596

MULCAHY, P

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

1713 **DATE MAILED:**

05/18/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Application No.

09/098,758

Peter D. Mulcahy

Applicant(s)

Duvall

Advisory Action Exam

Examiner

Group Art Unit

1713



TH	E PERIOD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)]	
	a) [X] expiresthree months from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
	expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection. Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The late on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of letermining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.	
	Appellant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on period for response set forth above, whichever is later). See 37 CFR 1.191(d) and 37 CFR 1.192(a)	(or within any
	plicant's response to the final rejection, filed on <u>Apr 26, 2000</u> has been considered with the fo is NOT deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:	llowing effect,
	The proposed amendment(s):	
	will be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.	
	will not be entered because:	
	they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below	v).
	they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).	
	they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing of issues for appeal.	or simplifying the
	☐ they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected cl	aims.
	NOTE:	
	Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):	
	Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable is separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.	f submitted in a
X	The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: It is unclear as to how the alleged "free mercaptan" is patentably distinct from the "latent mercaptan."	
	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	
X	For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if a	ny):
	Claims allowed: none	
	Claims objected to: none	
	Claims rejected: 1-3 and 6-9	<u></u>
	The proposed drawing correction filed on hashas not been approved	by the Examiner.
	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s)	
		A 1

ART UNIT 1713